

Enantioselective Preparation and Enzymatic Cleavage of Spiroisoxazoline Amides

Kim Goldenstein,^a Thomas Fendert,^b Peter Proksch^c and Ekkehard Winterfeldt^{a,*}

a Department of Organic Chemistry, University of Hannover, Schneiderberg 1B, D-30167 Hannover, Germany ^bJulius-von-Sachs-Institute of Biological Sciences, University of Würzburg, Julius-von-Sachs-Platz 2, D-97082 Würzburg, Germany ^cInstitute of Pharmaceutical Biology, University of Düsseldorf, Universitätsstraße1, Building 26.23, D-40225 Düsseldorf, Germany

Dedicated to Professor Rolf Huisgen on the occasion of his 80th birthday

Received 24 March 2000; accepted 18 April 2000

Abstract—Several enantiopure spiroisoxazoline amides were prepared from tert-butylester 22, which is obtained via an enantiotopic groups differentiating high pressure Diels-Alder cycloaddition. Treatment of these amides with an isoxazoline-splitting enzyme, which is involved in an injury induced defense reaction of the sponge *Aplysina cauliformis*, proves the bromoatoms in the cyclohexenone moiety to be important for enzyme binding, while the presence of the N-H bond of a monoalkylamide turned out to be mandatory for ring fission. The pertinence of these results to the ring splitting mechanism is discussed. © 2000 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

Introduction

The unusual spiroisoxazoline ring systems present in the agelorins (e.g. $\hat{\mathbf{1}}$ a=agelorin A, Scheme 1) and the fistularins (e.g. $2=11$ -*epi*-fistularin 3) which were isolated by König and Wright¹ from the Barrier Reef sponge Agelas oroides and which proved to be active against Bacillus subtilis and $Micrococcus$ luteus² initiated synthetic work in various research groups. $3-7$

In our laboratory the pure enantiomers $9-14$ were prepared from cycloadducts 7a and 7b which result from an enantiotopic double bonds differentiating high pressure Diels-Alder cycloaddition (Scheme 2).⁸

As communicated already, 9 these simple spiro compounds did easily match or even surpass the natural products in biological activity, but this comparison could easily become questionable in the light of the observation that the fistularins for instance are just at the starting point of an enzymatically catalyzed defense mechanism of sponges at least from the genus $Aplysina$,¹⁰ which involves an isoxazoline splitting enzyme.

This enzymatic degradation of the fistularins and of related spiroisoxazoline amides gives rise to the β -hydroxynitrile aeroplysinine-1 3 and to the dienone 4, which obviously results from 3 via enolether hydrolysis and hydration of the nitrile group, and it were these metabolites that were

proven to show remarkable antibiotic and cytotoxic $\arctivity.¹¹$

Starting from these results and having a reliable retro-Diels-Alder approach to key intermediates like 11 and 13 or their corresponding enantiomers at our disposal, we set out to prepare the relevant spiroamides. It was our aim to test the substrate specificity of the enzyme as well as the active site requirements and to elaborate the mechanism of the nitrile forming ring fission.

This could in principle be based either on nucleophilic attack at the carboxylic group (see 15, Scheme 3) or on a deprotonation step at the $N-H$ group of the amide (see 16).

Results and Discussion

Although the most simple looking pyridone catalyzed ester $aminolysis¹²$ worked quite well with our general intermediate 17 and pyrrolidine or piperidine to provide the disubstituted amides $18a$ and $18b$ (Scheme 4), the same process proved to be extremly unreliable with the much more important (see 16) primary amines like benzylamine and cyclohexylamine.

Since NMR data on crude reaction products in these cases indicated conjugate addition to the cyclohexenone moiety to compete with amide formation, we decided to switch from methylester 17 to the corresponding acid derivatives and to generate the desired amides along Staab's well established carbonyl-diimidazole route.¹³

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: $+49-511-762-4649$; fax: $+49-511-762-$ 3011; e-mail: winterfeldt@mbox.oci.uni-hannover.de

Scheme 1.

This called for an investigation of acid and base catalyzed hydrolysis of the intermediates in question and while proton catalyzed acetal splitting worked very well to provide diols 13 and 19 all our efforts to achieve base catalyzed ester hydrolysis met with failure.

A possible way to solve this problem would be to start with a tert-butylester right from the beginning and to prepare ester 22 via our Diels-Alder-retro-diene sequence. We were well aware of the additional risks of course, that come along with this special ester group—particularly in the retro step—we noticed on the other hand with some curiosity, however, that in our previous enantiotopic group differentiations we never had employed a tert-butylester.

To fill this gap and to gather some experience with this functional group too, we prepared ester 20 (Scheme 5) and were pleased to arrive at the enantiopure adduct 21 in an uneventfully high yield cycloaddition process.

As expected hydroxylation¹⁴ and diol protection with p-methoxy-benzaldehyde dimethylacetal operated nicely and even the retro-step could reliably be run with 50% yield, if the reaction flask was rinsed with triethylamine prior to the thermal process.

It should be mentioned at this stage, however, that the corresponding epoxide 26 although its preparation from 21 with tert-butylhydroperoxide and DBU¹⁵ was achieved in 80% yield, gave only a disappointingly meager 13% of the retroproduct 27 on thermolysis (Scheme 6).

This means that 27, which is needed for regioselective and stereoselective introduction of the bromoatoms present in the natural products, would have to be synthesized from diol 24.

Although the corresponding bromohydrin 28 looked like the most promising intermediate for this transformation, its preparation turned out to be by no means straightforward. A number of well-established methods for the bromination of alcohols, including the Appel technique¹⁶ did either leave 13 unchanged or led to complete destruction of the molecule.

As preceding in situ formation of a tosylate at the hydroxy group to be substituted was considered an obvious way out of this dilemma we treated the esters 13 and 24 with tosyl chloride, Hünig's base and the triphenylphosphine-bromine complex in dichloromethane in the temperature range from 0° C to room temperature and were pleased to isolate 72% of the bromo epimers 14b (Scheme 7) from methylester 13 and also 44% of the corresponding tert-butylester 28.

Scheme 2. (i) 6.5 kbar, CH₂Cl₂, 21 d (7a 88%, 7b 78%); (ii) KOH, H₂O₂, THF, 0°C (96%); (iii) 300°C, 10^{-2} mbar (83%); (iv) Br₂, Et₃N, CH₂Cl₂ (48%); (v) PPh₃Br₂, CH₂Cl₂, 0°C to rt (79%).

While on the first glance this seemed to confirm our assumption, a few disturbing observations made in the sequel cast serious doubt on the tosylate intermediate. First of all to be successful one had very much to stick to just one special mode of addition. It had to be treatment of triphenylphosphine with bromine in dichloromethane at 0° C first, after 5 min the Hünig's base had to be added, followed by a substoichiometric amount of tosyl chloride. Finally and still at 0° C one had to drop in the corresponding alcohol.

Since this sequence rather speaks against preformation of a tosylate, we checked the reaction with the independently prepared *tert*-butyl-cyclohexyl-p-toluenetosylate 33 ,¹⁷ to find that it was absolutely stable under reaction conditions, that led to quick bromination of the free alcohol.

As bromohydrine formation in this cyclohexenone series additionally led to mixtures of epimers, which is not

surprising in the presence of base, we first of all, using the procedure described above, prepared the configurational stable bromides 34, 35a and 35b (Scheme 8) from the conformational rigid secondary alcohols menthol, androsterone and 3-epi-androsterone, which proved the bromination to be a clean inversion process, accompanied by the formation of triphenylphosphine oxide.

As far as the stereochemical outcome goes this indicates that one deals with a special route to the well-known Mitsunobu intermediate and although this still leaves open questions on details of this substitution reaction it turned out to be the only way to make the bromohydrins 14b and 28 which on base treatment led to the epoxides 30a and 27 as expected.

A very high yield Johnson bromination¹⁸ followed by the again quantitative splitting of the tert-butylester provided acid 29c, ready for amide formation.

Using benzylamine under Staab's conditions cleanly led to amide 31 which on epoxide opening¹⁹ provided dibromoamide 32 having the agelorin type substitution pattern.

To have a comparable dibromomethylester available as a testsubstrate for the enzymatic ring fission (nucleophilic attack, see 15) we started from acetal 17 described earlier and prepared bromodiol 36 again using Johnson's protocol, followed by acid catalyzed acetal hydrolysis (Scheme 9).

Scheme 4. (i) α -Pyridone, dioxane, rt, 10 min (18a 75%, 18b 48%); (ii) H₂SO₄, acetone, rt, 30 min (74%).

Scheme 5.

Scheme 6. (i) tert-butylhydroperoxide, DBU (80%); (ii) 300°C, 1.5×10^{-2} mbar (13%).

Scheme 7.

Bromination of this diol 36 under the conditions described above gave only a moderate yield of bromohydrin 38, but since this compound is obtained in 96% yield from the corresponding epoxide 37, this line was not investigated any further.

The Staab procedure did also work very satisfactorily with acid 25 to provide amide 39. With acid 30b we even succeeded in the preparation of bisamide 40, which was, however, according to its polarity and low solubility not easily purified and had to be characterized by its IR- and MS-data along with some very characteristic NMR signals.

Having thus differently substituted brominated and not brominated amides as well as their ester analogues at our disposal we could start investigations with a cell free extract from Aplysina cauliformis, a sponge that had been collected in summer 1995 on Long Island (Bahamas) at a depth of 3 m .¹⁰

To check the general possibility to cleave spiroisoxazolines enzymatically,²⁰ numerous spiroisoxazoline derivatives were treated with the cell free extract, the most important ones were 19 (Scheme 4), 32 (Scheme 7), 38 (Scheme 9), 39 and 40 (Scheme 10).

We observed that the non-brominated amides 19 and 40 as well as methylester 38 were not attacked by the enzyme at all, diolamide 39 was cleaved partly (30%) and dibromoamide 32 was split quantitatively. This result indicates the N-H bond, the hydroxy function and the two bromoatoms to play an important role for the enzymatic cleavage and points at cleaving mechanism 16 (Scheme 3).²³

Scheme 10.

HC

 $H\Omega$

Scheme 9.

Because of its agelorin type substitution pattern in the cyclohexenone ring, bromohydrine 38 was additionally tested on its ability to inhibit competitively the active site of the enzyme against isofistularin.²³ However, dibromomethylester 38 showed no tendency to lower the enzyme activity, so that the $N-H$ functionality seems to have some signification for the enzyme binding, too.

 C

39

THF, rt, 50 %

Our examinations demonstrate that the enzyme is highly specific and its only function is the ring fission reaction of the brominated spiroisoxazoline amides to form the toxic metabolite aeroplysinin-1 3 (Scheme 1).

Experimental

Ĉ

40

 p -xylole, THF, rt, 30 %

١c

General procedures

빙

Melting points were determined on a Büchi melting point microscope and are uncorrected. UV spectra were measured on a Shimadzu 1601 instrument and IR spectra on a Perkin-Elmer 581 spectrometer. ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker WP 200, Bruker AM 400 and Bruker AVS 400. δ_H Values are given relative to TMS=0; J values in Hz, δ_c values are given relative to $CDCl₃=77.05$; multiplicities of ¹³C NMR were determined

by DEPT $(90^{\circ}/135^{\circ})$ or by APT. MS were determined with a Finnigan MAT 312 instrument and VG Autospec at 70 eV. Elemental analyses were recorded on a Heraeus CHN rapid analyzer. For flash chromatography silica gel $(30-60 \text{ mesh})$: Baker) was used at 0.3 bar. The high pressure reactions were performed in a Hofer apparatus. For retro-Diels-Alder reactions a special flash vacuum pyrolysis apparatus was used. All solvents were dried by standard methods. Cyclopentadiene 5 was prepared according to the procedure described by Winterfeldt et al.,²¹ spiroisoxazolines 6 and 20 were prepared as described.²²

Experimental procedures

Protected pyrrolidineamide 18a. To a solution of acetal 17 (25 mg, 0.065 mmol) in dry dioxane (2 ml) were added α -pyridone (28 mg, 0.288 mmol, 4 equiv.) and afterwards pyrrolidine (35 mg, 0.478 mmol, 7 equiv.) at room temperature. After stirring the reaction mixture for 10 min it was quenched with water, extracted with ethyl acetate, dried $(MgSO₄)$ and concentrated. Purification by flash chromatography yielded 21 mg (75%) of 18a as a white foam; IR (CHCl₃): ν =2984 cm⁻¹ (w), 2956 (m), 2928 (w), 1696 (m), 1628 (s), 1592 (m), 1452 (m), 1400 (m), 1264 (s), 1092 (m), 908 (s); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ =1.86-2.02 (m, 4H, 11-H, 11'-H), 3.38 (d, $J=18$ Hz, 1H, 7-H), 3.55–3.62 (m, 2H, 10-H, 10'-H), 3.71–3.85 (m, 5H, 10-H, $10'$ -H, 17-H), 3.95 (d, J=18 Hz, 1H, 7-H), 4.58 (dd, J=2/ 6 Hz, 1H, 1-H), 4.64 (d, $J=6$ Hz, 1H, 2-H), 5.93 (s, 1H, 12-H), 6.28 (d, $J=10$ Hz, 1H, 4-H), 6.75 (dd, $J=2/10$ Hz, 1H, 5-H), 6.87 (d, $J=9$ Hz, 2H, 15-H, 15'-H), 7.27 (d, $J=9$ Hz, 2H, 14-H, 14'-H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ =23.82 (C-11), 26.24 (C-11'), 45.08 (C-7), 47.14 (C-10), 48.75 (C-10'), 55.30 (C-17), 73.94 (C-1), 78.43 (C-2), 82.63 (C-6), 105.05 (C-12), 113.87 (C-15, C-15'), 127.72 (C-13), 128.24 (C-14, C-14'), 130.87 (C-4), 144.09 (C-5), 155.38 (C-16), 157.91 (C-8), 160.81 (C-9), 193.05 (C-3); MS (180°C): m/z (%)=399 (M+1, 1), 398 (M⁺, 6), 371 (1), 300 (11), 245 (13), 152 (9), 137 (22), 135 (100), 122 (14), 98 (65), 92 (9), 77 (19), 70 (29); HRMS m/z for $C_{21}H_{22}N_2O_6$ calcd: 398.1478, found: 398.1478.

Protected piperidineamide 18b. To a solution of acetal 17 (20 mg, 0.056 mmol) in dry dioxane (2 ml) were added piperidine (70 mg, 0.882 mmol, 15 equiv.) and α -pyridone (20 mg, 0.206 mmol, 3.7 equiv.) at room temperature. After stirring the reaction mixture for 6 h it was quenched with water, extracted with ethyl acetate, dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated. Purification by flash chromatography yielded 11 mg (48%) of 18b as a white foam; IR $(CHCl₃)$: $v=3029$ cm⁻¹ (w), 2942 (m), 2860 (w), 1696 (m), 1630 (s), 1518 (m), 1480 (m), 1399 (w), 1254 (s), 1093 (m), 1002 (m); ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): δ =1.45-1.58 (m, 6H, 11-H, 11'-H, 12-H), 3.38 (d, $J=18$ Hz, 1H, 7-H), 3.58 \pm 3.97 (m, 8H, 10-H, 10'-H, 18-H, 7-H), 4.58-4.68 (m, 2H, 1-H, 2-H), 5.95 (s, 1H, 13-H), 6.28 (d, $J=10$ Hz, 1H, 4-H), 6.77 (dd, $J=2/10$ Hz, 1H, 5-H), 6.87 (d, $J=9$ Hz, 2H, 16-H, 16'-H), 7.29 (d, J=9 Hz, 2H, 15-H, 15'-H); MS (180 °C): m/z (%)=413 (M+1, 2), 412 (M⁺, 9), 387 (21), 301 (25), 259 (26), 199 (3), 149 (9), 135 (79), 121 (13), 112 (100), 84 (59), 77 (16), 69 (43); HRMS: m/z for $C_{22}H_{24}N_2O_6$ calcd: 412.1634, found: 412.1635.

Diolepyrrolidineamide 19. To a solution of 18a (21 mg, 0.053 mmol) in aq. acetone (3 ml) was added a catalytic amount of $2 N$ aq. H_2SO_4 at room temperature. After 30 min the reaction was stopped with NaHCO₃. The reaction mixture was concentrated and afterwards water was added. The aqueous phase was extracted with ethyl acetate. The combined organic layers were dried $(MgSO₄)$ and concentrated. Chromatographic purification yielded 11 mg (74%) of 19 as a white foam; $[\alpha]_D^{20} = 79.5^\circ$ (c=0.64, CHCl₃); IR (CHCl₃): ν =3496 cm⁻¹ (w), 3400 (w), 3004 (m), 2980 (m), 2956 (w), 1700 (s), 1624 (s), 1596 (m), 1452 (m), 1380 (w) , 1264 (s), 1112 (m), 908 (m); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ =1.88–2.03 (m, symmetric, 4H, 11-H, 11'-H), 3.30 (d, J=18 Hz, 1H, 7-H), 3.50 (s, 1H, OH), 3.59 (tr, $J=7$ Hz, 2H, 10-H, 10'-H), 3.75-3.83 (m, 3H, 10-H, 10'-H, OH), 3.94 (d, $J=18$ Hz, 1H, 7-H), 4.21 (d_{br}, $J=2$ Hz, 1H, 1-H), 4.68 (d, $J=2.5$ Hz, 1H, 2-H), 6.23 (d, $J=10$ Hz, 1H, 4-H), 6.62 (d, $J=2/10$ Hz, 1H, 5-H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): ν =23.82 (C-11'), 26.23 (C-11), 44.86 (C-7), 47.21 (C-10'), 48.85 (C-10), 73.17 (C-1), 73.19 (C-2), 85.73 (C-6), 129.10 (C-4), 143.81 (C-5), 155.18 $(C-8)$, 158.27 $(C-9)$, 197.43 $(C-3)$; MS $(150^{\circ}C)$: m/z $(\%)=281$ (M+1, 2), 280 (M⁺, 14), 251 (6), 220 (9), 193 (8), 149 (6), 139 (11), 136 (25), 135 (36), 125 (9), 98 (54), 86 (20), 70 (100); HRMS: m/z for C₁₃H₁₆N₂O₅ calcd: 280.1059, found: 280.1060.

Spiroisoxazoline adduct 21. A solution of diene 5 (2.66 g, 11.08 mmol) and spiroisoxazoline 20 (2.3 g, 9.24 mmol) in dichloromethane (7.5 ml) was introduced into a Teflon hose and submitted to 6.5 kbar in a high pressure autoclave for 14 days. Purification of the raw material by flash chromatography yielded 3.70 g (82%) of 21 as white foam; $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ = 123.6° (c=1.35, CHCl₃); IR (CHCl₃): ν = 2984 cm^{-1} (m), 2934 (m), 2864 (m), 1711 (s), 1669 (s), 1637 (w), 1612 (m), 1593 (m), 1515 (s), 1443 (w), 1371 (m) , 1252 (s), 1180 (m), 1140 (s), 910 (m); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ =0.45 (d_{br}, J=13 Hz, 1H, 2-H_{eq}), 0.80 (s, 3H, 28-H), $1.06-1.64$ (m, 5H), 1.57 (s, 9H, 20-H, 21-H, 22-H), 1.86 (dtr, $J=3/13$ Hz, 1H), 2.42 (dd, $J=2.5/$ 8.5 Hz, 1H), 2.86 (d, $J=8$ Hz, 1H, 11-H), 3.20 (d, $J=18$ Hz, 1H, 16-H), 3.26 (d, $J=18$ Hz, 1H, 16-H), 3.80 -3.84 (m, 4H, 10-H, 27-H), 5.84 (d, $J=10$ Hz, 1H, 14-H), 5.88 (d, $J=5.5$ Hz, 1H, 7-H), 6.17 (d, $J=5.5$ Hz, 1H, 8-H), 6.51 $(dd, J=1/10 Hz, 1H, 13-H), 6.87 (d, J=9 Hz, 2H, 25-H,$ $25'$ -H), 7.29 (d, J=9 Hz, 2H, 24-H, 24'-H); ¹³C NMR $(100 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$: $\delta=15.40 \text{ (C-28)}$, 21.13 (C-4), 23.81 (C-3), 27.13 (C-5), 28.03 (C-20, C-21, C-22), 28.57 (C-2), 50.26 (C-11), 51.67 (C-16), 51.68 (C-10), 55.17 (C-27), 61.31 (C-6), 62.36 (C-1), 70.87 (C-9), 83.84 (C-19), 87.66 (C-12), 113.10 (C-25, C-25'), 128.94 (C-23), 129.03 (C-24, C-24'), 130.99 (C-7), 135.62 (C-14), 138.62 (C-8), 147.29 (C-13), 151.64 (C-26), 158.31 (C-17), 159.38 (C-18), 198.09 (C-15); MS (80°C): m/z (%)=489 (M⁺, 4), 372 (7), 371 (6), 305 (6), 240 (30), 234 (14), 211 (11), 210 (9), 193 (12), 176 (100), 153 (16), 119 (29); FAB-MS: m/z (%)=512 (M+23, 81), 490 (M+1, 100), 460 (25), 434 (94).

Spiroisoxazoline diol adduct. To a solution of 21 (4.30 g, 8.81 mmol) and $CH₃CN$ (50 ml) and EtOAc (50 ml) was added with vigorous stirring a solution of $RuCl₃·xH₂O$ $(461 \text{ mg}, \ \ 2.23 \text{ mmol}, \ \ 0.25 \text{ equiv.})$ and NaIO₄ $(3.58 \text{ g},$

16.73 mmol, 1.9 equiv.) in deionized water at 0° C. After 5 min the reaction mixture was quenched with sat. aq. NaHSO₅. The aqueous phase was extracted with ethyl acetate. The combined organic layers were dried $(MgSO_4)$ and concentrated. Purification by flash chromatography yielded 3.92 g (85%) of the diol adduct as yellow foam; $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ =74.9° (c=0.41, CHCl₃); IR (CHCl₃): ν =3587 cm⁻¹ (w), 2985 (w), 2934 (w), 1710 (s), 1595 (w), 1516 (m), 1443 (w) , 1371 (m), 1265 (s), 1127 (m), 1106 (m), 909 (m); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 0.51$ (d_{br}, J=13 Hz, 1H, 2-H_{eq}), 0.79 (s, 3H, 28-H), 1.11-1.98 (m, 7H), 1.58 (s, 9H, 20-H, 21-H, 22-H), 2.94 (d, $J=9$ Hz, 1H, 11-H), 3.03 $(d, J=18 \text{ Hz}, 1H, 16-H), 3.72-3.94 \text{ (m, 6H, 27-H, 16-H, 16-H)}$ 10-H, 14-H), 4.18 (d, $J=2$ Hz, 1H, 13-H), 5.97 (d, $J=6$ Hz, 1H, 7-H), 6.45 (d, $J=6$ Hz, 1H, 8-H), 6.88 (d, $J=9$ Hz, 2H, 25-H, 25'-H), 7.28 (d, $J=9$ Hz, 2H, 24-H, 24'-H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ =16.08 (C-28), 21.18 (C-4), 23.60 (C-3), 26.35 (C-5), 28.04 (C-20, C-21, C-22), 28.41 (C-2), 45,47 (C-16), 52.05 (C-10), 52.95 (C-11), 55.18 (C-27), 61.81 (C-6), 62.81 (C-1), 69.03 (C-9), 74.00 (C-13), 75.91 (C-14), 83.84 (C-19), 90.20 (C-12), 113.17 (C-25, C-25'), 128.87 (C-23), 128.94 (C-24, C-24'), 132.50 (C-7), 142.93 (C-8), 152.61 (C-29), 158.61 (C-17), 159.67 (C-18), 211.23 (C-15); FAB-MS: m/z $(\%)=546$ (M+23, 100), 524 (M+1, 17), 523 (27), 508 (7), 495 (10), 490 (12).

Protected spiroisoxazoline adduct 23. To a solution of spiroisoxazoline diol adduct (4.06 g, 7.76 mmol) in dry CH3CN (50 ml) were added p-methoxy-benzaldehyde acetal (4.00 g, 22.10 mmol, 2.8 equiv.) and a catalytic amount of $pTsOH$ at 0°C. After 30 min at room temperature the reaction mixture was quenched with sat. aq. $NaHSO₃$. The aqueous phase was extracted with methyl tert-butyl ether. The combined layers were washed with brine and dried ($MgSO₄$). Evaporation of the solvent and purification by flash chromatography yielded 3.87 g $(78%)$ of 23 as a white solid; melting point=80.7 \textdegree C; IR (CHCl₃): ν =2935 cm⁻¹ (m), 1714 (s), 1614 (m), 1594 (m), 1517 (s), 1463, 1441 (m), 1371 (m), 1252 (s), 1181 (m), 1171 (m) , 1935 (m), 909 (m); ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): δ =0.62 (d_{br}, 1H, 2-H_{eq}), 0.79 (s, 3H, 34-H), 1.12-1.73 $(m, 4H)$, 1.54 (s, 9H, 20-H, 21-H, 22-H), 1.95–2.14 $(m,$ 3H), 3.20 (m, 2H, 11-H, 16-H), 3.54 (d, $J=18$ Hz, 1H, 16-H), 3.78 (s, 3H, 28-H), 3.83 (s, 3H, 33-H), 4.27 (d, $J=8$ Hz, 1H, 13-H), 4.29 (d, $J=10$ Hz, 1H, 10-H), 4.48 (d, $J=8$ Hz, 1H, 14-H), 5.79 (s, 1H, 23-H), 6.09 (d, $J=6$ Hz, 1H, 7-H), 6.23 (d, J=6 Hz, 1H, 8-H), 6.85 (d, J=9 Hz, 2H, $31-H$, $31'-H$), 6.96 (d, $J=9$ Hz, $2H$, $26-H$, $26'-H$), 7.16 (d, $J=9$ Hz, 2H, 30-H, 30'-H), 7.45 (d, $J=9$ Hz, 2H, 25-H, 25'-H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ =15.73 (C-34), 21.03 (C-4), 23.44 (C-3), 27.66 (C-5), 28.00 (C-20, C-21, C-22), 28.55 (C-2), 43.17 (C-16), 51.75 (C-11), 52.37 (C-10), 55.21 (C-28), 55.31 (C-33), 60.50 (C-6), 63.22 (C-1), 64.92 (C-9), 78.27 (C-13), 80.81 (C-14), 80.68 (C-19), 89.84 (C-12), 104.83 (C-23), 113.52 (C-31, C-31'), 114.09 (C-26, C-26'), 127.13 (C-24), 128.06 (C-30, C-31'), 128.09 (C-25, C-25^{*'*}), 129.72 (C-29), 136.25 (C-7), 138.67 (C-8), 152.76 (C-32), 158.32 (C-27), 159.34 $(C-17)$, 160.98 $(C-18)$, 203.78 $(C-15)$; FAB-MS: m/z $(\%)=664$ (M+23, 25), 642 (M+1, 26), 586 (8), 540 (9), 402 (100), 391 (5), 368 (8), 346 (37), 329 (9), 307 (19), 289 (11).

Protected diol 22. A flask of a flash vacuum pyroysis apparatus was rinsed with triethylamine. Then adduct 23 (500 mg, 0.780 mmol) was brought into it and heated to 110^oC at 10^{-2} mbar. This way the developed diene 5 was sublimed and 22 remained in the reaction flask. Chromatographic purification yielded $156 \text{ mg } (50\%)$ as a yellow solid; melting point=122.2°C; IR (CHCl₃): ν =2984 cm⁻¹ (w), 2936 (w), 1714 (s), 1614 (m), 1597 (w), 1459 (w), 1371 (m), 1254 (s), 1173 (m), 1151 (m), 1126 (m), 830 (m); UV $(CHCl₃): \lambda_{max} = 261 \text{ nm}; \quad 1 \text{ H} \quad \text{NMR} \quad (200 \text{ MHz}, \quad \text{CDCl}₃):$ $\delta=1.56$ (s, 9H, 11-H, 12-H, 13-H), 3.21 (d, J=18 Hz, 1H, 7-H), 3.70–3.89 (m, 4H, 7-H, 19-H), 4.58–4.77 (m, 2H, 1-H, 2-H), 5.94 (s, 1H, 14-H), 6.27 (d, $J=10$ Hz, 1H, 4-H), 6.74 (dd, J=10/1.5 Hz, 1H, 5-H), 6.87 (d, J=9 Hz, $2H$, 17-H, 17'-H), 7.28 (d, J=9 Hz, 2H, 16-H, 16'-H); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 27.98$ (C-11, C-12, C-13), 43.11 (C-7), 55.31 (C-19), 73.91 (C-1), 78.40 (C-2), 84.24 (C-10), 84.63 (C-6), 105.05 (C-14), 113.90 (C-17, C-17'), 127.66 (C-15), 128.26 (C-16, C-16[']), 130.83 (C-4), 143.65 (C-5), 153.09 (C-18), 158.75 (C-8), 166.86 (C-9), 192.80 (C-3); FAB-MS: m/z (%)=424 (M+23, 7), 402 (M+1, 34), 391 (7), 346 (14), 329 (18), 307 (29), 289 (20), 259 (26), 241 (12), 176 (35), 154 (100).

Spiroisoxazoline diol 24. To a solution of 22 (550 mg, 1.38 mmol) in aq. acetone (3 ml) was added a catalytic amount of 2 N aq. H_2SO_4 at room temperature. After 3 h the reaction was stopped with $NaHCO₃$. The reaction mixture was concentrated and afterwards water was added. The aqueous phase was extracted with ethyl acetate. The combined organic layers were dried $(MgSO₄)$ and concentrated. Chromatographic purification yielded concentrated. Chromatographic purification yielded 327 mg (84%) of 24 as a white foam; $[\alpha]_D^{20} = 19.6^\circ$ $(c=0.14, \text{ MeOH})$; IR (CHCl₃): $\nu=3580 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ (w), 3506 (w), 2984 (w), 1703 (s), 1597 (m), 1458 (w), 1371 (m), 1261 (m), 1127 (m), 909 (m); ¹ H NMR (400 MHz, acetone-d₆): δ =1.53 (s, 9H, 11-H, 12-H, 13-H), 3.27 (d, $J=18$ Hz, 1H, 7-H), 3.73 (d, $J=18$ Hz, 1H, 7-H), 4.17 (m, 1H, 1-H), 4.40 (d, J=4 Hz, 1H, OH), 4.54 (tr_{br} , J=4 Hz, 1H, 2-H), 5.07 (d, J=4 Hz, 1H, OH), 6.14 (d, J=10 Hz, 1H, 4-H), 6.81 (d,J=10/2 Hz, 1H, 5-H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, acetone-d₆): δ =28.13 (C-11, C-12, C-13), 43.07 (C-7), 74.51 (C-1), 74.78 (C-2), 83.50 (C-10), 98.46 (C-6), 129.84 (C-4), 144.42 (C-5), 153.94 (C-8), 159.98 (C-9), 198.17 (C-3); MS (150°C): m/z (%)=227 (M-tBu+1, 211 (9), 210 (100), 181 (3), 136 (17), 123 (3), 87 (4), 83 (41); HRMS: m/z for $C_9H_9NO_6$ calcd: 227.0430, found: 227.0430.

Diol carboxylic acid 25. To a solution of 24 (10 mg, 0.035 mmol) in dichloromethane (1 ml) was added trifluoroacetic acid (1 ml). After 1 h at room temperature the reaction mixture was concentrated and 8 mg of acid 25 were obtained as a brown oil; $[\alpha]_D^{20} = 44.4^\circ$ ($c=1.08$, MeOH); IR (CHCl₃): ν =3411 cm⁻¹ (s), 2925 (m.), 1703 (s), 1599 (m), 1514 (w), 1257 (m), 1162 (m), 1116 (m), 918 (m), 736 (w); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, acetone-d₆): δ =3.31 (d, J=18 Hz, 1H, 7-H), 3.78 (d, J=18 Hz, 1H, 7-H), 4.19 (dd, $J=2/2.5$ Hz, 1H, 1-H), 4.55 (d, $J=2.5$ Hz, 1H, 2-H), 6.15 (d, $J=10$ Hz, 1H, 4-H), 6.85 (d, $J=2/10$ Hz, 1H, 5-H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, acetone-d₆): δ =42.93 (C-7), 74.54 (C-1), 74.80 (C-2), 89.80 (C-6), 129.95 (C-4), 144.36 $(C-5)$, 153.20 $(C-8)$, 161.54 $(C-9)$, 198.18 $(C-3)$; MS (70 $^{\circ}$ C):

 m/z (%)=228 (M+1, 3), 227 (M⁺, 11), 223 (17), 211 (10), 210 (100), 198 (10), 182 (13), 164 (7), 140 (12), 123 (12), 109 (7), 96 (20), 84 (9); HRMS: m/z for C₉H₉NO₆ calcd: 227.0430, found: 227.0434.

Epoxy adduct 26. To a solution of adduct 21 (35 mg, 0.072 mmol) in dry dichloromethane (3 ml) were added tert-BuOOH (0.05 ml, 44 mg, 0.384 mmol, 5.5 equiv., 80% in tert-butylperoxide) and two drops of DBU. After stirring overnight the reaction mixture was quenched with sat. aq. $Na₂S₂O₅$, extracted with methyl-tert-butyl ether and concentrated. Purification of the raw material yielded 20 mg (80%) of epoxide 26 as a yellow foam; $[\alpha]_D^{20} = 37.2^\circ$ $(c=0.65, \text{CHCl}_3)$; IR (CHCl₃): $\nu=2984 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ (m), 2933 (m), 1716 (s), 1594 (m), 1516 (s), 1461 (w), 1443 (w), 1385 (m), 1352 (m), 1275 (m), 1252 (s), 1181 (m), 1149 (m), 1130 (m), 909 (m), 822 (m); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ =0.56 (d_{br}, J=13 Hz, 1H, 2-H_{eq}), 0.76 (s, 3H, 28-H), 1.12-1.66 (m, 5H), 1.58 (s, 9H, 20-H, 21-H, 22-H), 1.73 (d_{br}, $J=12$ Hz, 1H), 1.99 (dtr, $J=3.5/13$ Hz, 1H), 3.04 (d, $J=10$ Hz, 1H, 11-H), 3.35 (d, $J=4$ Hz, 1H, 13-H), 3.37 (d, $J=4$ Hz, 1H, 14-H), 3.39 (d, $J=18.5$ Hz, 1H, 16-H), 3.64 (d, $J=18.5$ Hz, 1H, 16-H), 3.79 (s, 3H, 27-H), 3.96 (d, $J=10$ Hz, 1H, 10-H), 6.06 (d, $J=5.5$ Hz, 1H, 7-H), 6.14 (d, $J=5.5$ Hz, 1H, 8-H), 6.85 (d, $J=9$ Hz, 2H, 25-H, 25'-H), 7.12 (d, $J=9$ Hz, 2H, 24-H, 24'-H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ =15.77 (C-27), 21.06 (C-4), 23.34 (C-3), 26.89 (C-5), 26.92 (C-2), 28.06 (C-20, C-21, C-22), 46.35 (C-16), 52.64 (C-11), 53.07 (C-10), 55.18 (C.27), 56.00 (C-13), 60.31 (C-6), 60.58 (C-14), 61.01 (C-1), 63.86 (C-9), 84.09 (C-19), 88.55 (C-12), 113.46 (C-25, C-25'), 127.71 (C.24, C-24⁰), 130.43 (C-23), 135.64 (C-7), 139.00 (C-8), 152.30 (C-26), 158.09 (C-17), 159.39 (C-18), 204.29 (C-15); MS (180°C): m/z (%)=266 (dienophile +1, 2), 240 (diene, 100), 197 (6), 121 (3); FAB-MS: m/z (%)=528 (M+23, 7), 506 $(M+1, 4)$, 505 $(M^+, 4)$, 504 $(M-1, 4)$, 241 (74), 240 (100).

Spiroisoxazoline epoxide 27. Method A: Epoxyadduct 26 $(15 \text{ mg}, 0.030 \text{ mmol})$ was brought into a flash vacuum pyrolysis apparatus and sublimed at $300^{\circ}C/1.510^{-2}$ mbar through a pyrolysis tube heated to 300° C. After 2 h the whole starting material was sublimed off and a 1:1 mixture of 27 and diene 5 was trapped on a cooling finger. Chromatographic purification yielded 1 mg $(13%)$ of 27 as a colorless oil.

Method B: To a solution of bromohydrine 28 (20 mg, 0.057 mmol) in dichloromethane (3 ml) was added a catalytic amount of DBU. The reaction mixture was concentrated and chromatographic purification yielded 16 mg (95%) of 27 as a colorless oil; $\left[\alpha\right]_D^{20} = 195.1^\circ$ (c=0.50, CHCl₃); IR (CHCl₃): ν =2984 cm⁻¹ (m), 1710 (s), 1597 (m) , 1269 (s), 1140 (m); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ =1.58 (s, 9H, 11-H, 12-H, 13-H), 3.33 (d, J=18 Hz, 1H, 7-H), 3.58 (dd, $J=2/3.5$ Hz, 1H, 1-H), 3.68 (d, $J=18$ Hz, 1H, 7-H), 3.76 (dd, $J=2.5/3.5$ Hz, 1H, 2-H), 6.09 (dd, $J=10.5/2$ Hz, 1H, 4-H), 6.45 (dd, $J=2.5/10$ Hz, 1H, 5-H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ =28.01 (C-11, C-12, C-13), 44.20 (C-7), 53.55 (C-1), 57.29 (C-2), 83.40 (C-10), 84.48 (C-6), 128.30 (C-4), 141.24 (C-5), 152.79 (C-8), 158.66 (C-9), 191.27 (C-3); MS (150°C): m/z (%)=266 (M+1, 3), 251 (14), 209 (59), 192 (100), 164 (16), 123 (10); HRMS: m/z for C₁₃H₁₅NO₅ calcd: 265.0950, found: 265.0949.

Bromohydrinmethylester 14b. To a solution of PPh_3 (240 mg, 0.916 mmol, 2.2 equiv.) in dry dichloromethane (10 ml) was added a bromine solution (0.95 ml, 0.5 M in dichloromethane, 0.475 mmol, 1.1 equiv.) at 0° C. Afterwards Hünig's base $(0.09 \text{ ml}, 0.548 \text{ mmol}, 1.3 \text{ equiv.})$ and TsCl (26 mg, 0.137 mmol, 0.3 equiv.) were added and the reaction mixture was stirred for 10 min. Then diol 13 (100 mg, 0.415 mmol) was added and the mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature. The reaction was stopped with water, extracted with ethyl acetate, dried $(MgSO₄)$ and chromatographic purification yielded 71 mg (72%) of 14b as a yellow oil; IR (CHCl₃): ν =3592 cm⁻¹ (w), 3040 (w), 2956 (w), 2928 (w), 1728 (s), 1704 (s), 1600 (m), 1444 (m), 1373 (m), 1352 (s), 1264 (m), 1124 (m), 920 (m); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ =37.46 (C-7), 53.14/ 53.16 (C-10), 57.32 (C-1), 75.03 (C-2), 90.14 (C-6), 127.80 (C-4), 147.39 (C-5), 151.01/152.10 (C-8), 160.13/160.18 (C-9), 188.20 (C-3); MS (140°C): m/z (%)=274 (M-31, 5), 272 (M-31, 5), 224 (89), 192 (32), 181 (30), 154 (13), 138 (28), 122 (22), 96 (100), 77 (19), 68 (36); HRMS: m/z for C9H7NO4Br calcd: 271.9558, found: 271.9557; main product (*trans*-bromohydrin): 1 H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta=3.10$ (d, $J=18$ Hz, 1H, 7-H), 3.92 (d, $J=18$ Hz, 1H, 7-H), 3.96 (s, 3H, 10-H), 4.41 (dd, $J=3/$ 12 Hz, 1H, 1-H), 4.57 (d, $J=12$ Hz, 1H, 2-H), 6.27 (d, $J=10$ Hz, 1H, 4-H), 7.01 (d, $J=10$ Hz, 1H, 5-H); the spectroscopic data were taken from the spectra of the mixtures; side product $(cis$ -bromohydrin): ^fH NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ =3.24 (d, J=18 Hz, 1H, 7-H), 3.97 (s, 3H, 10-H), 4.44 (d, $J=18$ Hz, 1H, 7-H), 4.48 (s_{br} , 1H, 1-H), 4.98 $(s_{br}, 1H, 2-H), 6.25$ (d, $J=10$ Hz, 1H, 4-H), 6.76 (d, $J=10$ Hz, 1H, 5-H); the spectroscopic data were taken from the spectra of the mixtures.

Bromohydrin-tert-butylester 28. To a solution of $PPh₃$ (119 mg, 0.456 mmol, 4.3 equiv.) in dry dichloromethane (3 ml) was added a bromine solution (0.28 ml, 0.5 M in dichloromethane, 0.139 mmol, 1.3 equiv.) at 0° C. Afterwards Hünig's base (3 drops) and TsCl (20 mg) , 0.106 mmol, 1 equiv.) were added and the reaction mixture was stirred for 10 min. Then diol 24 (30 mg, 0.106 mmol) was added and the mixture was stirred for 14 h at room temperature. No working up followed, chromatographic purification yielded 16 mg (44%) of 28 as a yellow oil; IR (CHCl₃): ν =3590 cm⁻¹ (w), 2984 (m), 1712 (s), 1601 (w), 1264 (m), 1129 (s); FAB-MS: m/z (%)=369 (M+23, 7), 348 $(M⁺, 9)$, 329 (18), 307 (36), 289 (23), 259 (32), 176 (27), 154 (100), 137 (82); main product (cis-bromohydrin): ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CHCl₃): $\delta=1,57$ (s, 9H, 11-H, 12-H, 13-H), 3.01 (d, $J=18$ Hz, 1H, 7-H), 3.83 (d, $J=18$ Hz, 1H, 7-H), 4.38 (m, 1H, 2-H), 4.97 (s_{br}, 1H, 1-H), 6.20 (d, $J=10$ Hz, 1H, 4-H), 6.97 (d, $J=10$ Hz, 1H, 5-H); ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ =28.01 (C-11, C-12, C-13), 37.73 (C-7), 57.27 (C-1), 75.03 (C-2), 84.27 (C-10), 89.83 (C-6), 127.55 (C-4), 147.84 (C-5), 152.38 (C-8), 158.83 (C-9), 188.25 (C-3); the spectroscopic data were taken from the spectra of the mixtures; side product (*trans*-bromohydrin): ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 1.56 $(s, 9H, 11-H, 12-H, 13-H), 3.16$ (d, $J=18$ Hz, 1H, 7-H), 3.93 (d, $J=18$ Hz, 1H, 7-H), 4.38 (m, 1H, 1-H), 4.50 $(d, J=12 \text{ Hz}, 1H, 2-H)$, 6.20 $(d, J=10 \text{ Hz}, 1H, 4-H)$, 6.70 (d, $J=10$ Hz, 1H, 5-H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ =28.01 (C-11, C-12, C-13), 37.73 (C-7), 57.27 (C-1),

75.03 (C-2), 84.33 (C-10), 88.36 (C-6), 127.55 (C-4), 147.84 (C-5), 153.44 (C-8), 158.71 (C-9), 188.84 (C-3); the spectroscopic data were taken from the spectra of the mixtures.

Epoxymethylester 30a. To a solution of bromohydrin 14 (50 mg, 0.165 mmol) in dichloromethane (5 ml) was added a catalytic amount of DBU. The reaction mixture was concentrated and chromatographic purification yielded 33 mg (90%) of **30a** as a white solid; $[\alpha]_D^{20} = 275.5^\circ$ $(c=0.79CHCl₃)$; melting point=77.4°C; IR $(CHCl₃)$: $\nu=3040 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ (w), 2956 (w), 2928 (w), 1728 (s), 1692 (s), 1596 (m), 1444 (m), 1372 (m), 1260 (s), 1228 (m), 1124 (m), 912 (m); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ =3.37 $(d, J=18$ Hz, 1H, 7-H), 3.59 (dd, $J=2/4$ Hz, 1H, 1-H), 3.70-3.77 (m, 2H, 2-H, 7-H), 3.95 (s, 3H, 10-H), 6.12 (dd, J=2/ 10 Hz, 1H, 4-H), 6.46 (dd, J=3/10 Hz, 1H, 5-H); ¹³C NMR $(100 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$: $\delta = 43.88$ (C-7), 53.23 (C-10), 53.51 (C-1), 57.14 (C-2), 83.81 (C-6), 128.53 (C-4), 140.87 (C-5), 151.46 (C-8), 160.02 (C-9), 191.14 (C-3); MS (90°C): m/z (%)=223 (M⁺, 49), 206 (10), 194 (29), 174 (11), 164 (17), 146 (37), 136 (24), 119 (20), 94 (100), 77 (26), 66 (53); HRMS: m/z for C₁₀H₉NO₅ calcd: 223.0481, found: 223.0484.

Epoxy carboxylic acid 30b. To a solution of 27 (16 mg, 0.060 mmol) in dichloromethane (0.75 ml) was added trifluoroacetic acid (0.75 ml) . After 2 h at room temperature the reaction mixture was concentrated and 13 mg (quant.) of acid 30b were obtained as a brown oil; $\left[\alpha\right]_D^{20} = 199.7^\circ$ (c=0.34, MeOH); IR (CHCl₃): δ =3494 cm⁻¹ (w), 2927 (m), 1694 (s), 1599 (m), 1263 (m); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, acetone-d₆): δ =3.56 (d, J=18 Hz, 1H, 7-H), 3.61 (dd, $J=3.5/2$ Hz, 1H, 2-H), 3.73 (d, $J=18$ Hz, 1H, 7-H), 4.02 $(dd, J=2.5/3.5$ Hz, 1H, 1-H), 6.10 (dd, $J=2/10$ Hz, 1H, 4-H), 6.80 (dd, $J=2.5/10$ Hz, 1H, 5-H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, acetone-d₆): δ =45.45 (C-7), 55.27 (C-1), 59.09 (C-2), 85.61 (C-6), 129.42 (C-4), 144.35 (C-5), 154.50 (C-8), 162.22 (C-9), 193.85 (C-3); MS (140°C): m/z (%): 210 (M+1, 2), 209 $(M^+, 13)$, 180 (7), 165 (10), 149 (16), 125 (38), 97 (100); HRMS: m/z for $C_0H_7NO_5$ calcd: 209.0324, found: 209.0324.

Bromoepoxymethylester 29a. To a solution of 30a (20 mg, 0.090) in dry dichloromethane (3 ml) was added a solution of bromine in dry dichloromethane (0.21 ml, 0.5 M, 0.108 mmol, 1.2 equiv.) at 0° C. After 1 h Hünig's base $(0.03 \text{ ml}, 0.179 \text{ mmol}, 2 \text{ equiv.})$ was added at 0° C. The solution was stirred for 5 h at room temperature and then extracted with methyl-tert-butyl ether. The organic layer was washed with water, dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated. Purification by flash chromatography yielded $22 \text{ mg } (83\%)$ of 29a as a yellow solid; $[\alpha]_D^{20} = 202.8^\circ$ (c=0.64, CHCl₃); melting point=158.0°C; IR (CHCl₃): δ =3040 cm⁻¹ (w), 2956 (w), 1728 (s), 1708 (s), 1596 (m), 1444 (m), 1376 (w) , 1260 (s), 1140 (m), 908 (m); ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): δ =3.41 (d, J=18 Hz, 1H, 7-H), 3.68–3.82 (m, 3H, 1-H, 2-H, 7-H), 3.95 (s, 3H, 10-H), 6.92 (d_{br} , J=2 Hz, 1H, 5-H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ =44.78 (C-7), 54.35 (C-10), 54.37 (C-1), 58.10 (C-2), 86.37 (C-6), 126.30 (C-4), 142.01 (C-5), 152.41 (C-8), 160.78 (C-9), 185.59 (C-3); MS (110°C): m/z (%)=304 (M+1, 5), 303 $(M^+$, 35), 302 $(M+1, 6)$, 301 $(M^+$, 41), 286 (14), 284 (12), 272 (32), 242 (43), 222 (48), 203 (45), 194 (75), 172

(61), 162 (32), 146 (50), 93 (100), 77 (36), 66 (83); HRMS: m/z for $C_{10}H_8NO_5$ calcd: 222.0402, found: 222.0406.

Bromoepoxide-tert-butylester 29b. To a solution of 27 (15 mg, 0.057 mmol) in dry dichloromethane (2 ml) was added a solution of bromine in dry dichloromethane $(0.11 \text{ ml}, 0.5 \text{ M}, 0.057 \text{ mmol}, 1 \text{ equiv.})$ at 0°C . After 3 h stirring at room temperature Hünig's base (4 drops) was added. The solution was stirred for 30 min at room temperature and then the reaction was stopped by flash chromatography. The yield was 18 mg (93%) of 29b as a yellow oil; $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ =172.1° (c=0.82, CHCl₃); IR (CHCl₃): ν =2984 cm⁻¹ (w), 1710 (s), 1599 (m), 1260 (m), 1142 (m); ¹ H NMR $(400 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3): \delta=1.58 \text{ (s, 9H, 11-H, 12-H, 13-H)},$ 3.38 (d, $J=18$ Hz, 1H, 7-H), 3.69 (d, $J=18$ Hz, 1H, 7-H), 3.72 (d, $J=3.5$ Hz, 1H, 2-H), 3.80 (dd, $J=3.5/2.5$ Hz, 1H, 1-H), 6.39 (d, J=2.5 Hz, 1H, 5-H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ =31.15 (C-11, C-12, C-13), 46.27 (C-7), 55.78 (C-1), 86.86 (C-10), 87.14 (C-6), 127.15 (C-4), 143.57 (C-5), 154.90 (C-8), 160.56 (C-9), 186.85 (C-3); MS (120°C) : m/z (%)=364 (M+19, 10), 362 (M+19, 9), 345 $(M^{\dagger}, 5)$, 343 $(M^{\dagger}, 4)$, 330 (10), 328 (11), 289 (3), 287 (24), 272 (57), 270 (60), 244 (27), 242 (27), 149 (21), 84 (100); HRMS: m/z for $C_9H_{14}BrNO_5$ calcd: 269.9402, found: 269.9402.

Bromoepoxy carboxylic acid 29c. To a solution of 29b (18 mg, 0.052 mmol) in dichloromethane (1 ml) was added trifluoroacetic acid (1 ml). After 2 h at room temperature the reaction mixture was concentrated and 15 mg (quant.) of acid 29c were obtained as a brown oil; $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ =171.4° (c=0.69, MeOH); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, acetone-d₆): δ =3.68 (d, J=18 Hz, 1H, 7-H), 3.79 (d, $J=18$ Hz, 1H, 7-H), 3.89 (d, $J=3.5$ Hz, 1H, 2-H), 4.14 (dd, $J=2.5/3.5$ Hz, 1H, 1-H), 7.41 (d, $J=2.5$ Hz, 1H, 5-H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, acetone-d₆): δ =44.22 (C-7), 54.18 (C-1), 58.04 (C-2), 86.17 (C-6), 124.37 (C-4), 143.82 (C-5), 153.51 (C-8), 160.93 (C-9), 186.23 (C-3); MS $(150^{\circ}$ C): m/z (%): 289 (M⁺, 4), 287 (4), 205 (92), 177 (15), 175 (18), 123 (25), 121 (26); HRMS: m/z for $C_0H_6BrNO_5$ calcd: 286.9429, found: 286.9416.

Bromoepoxyamide 31. To a solution of acid 29c (25 mg, 0.087 mmol) in dry THF (2 ml) was added Staab's reagent (14 mg, 0.087 mmol, 1 equiv.) at room temperature. The solution was stirred for 10 min, then benzylamine (9 mg, 0.087 mmol, 1 equiv.) was added at room temperature. After stirring the reaction mixture for 10 min, it was worked up by purification by flash chromatography. In this way 19 mg (58%) of 31 were obtained as a yellow solid; $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{20}$ =146.1° (c=0.64, CHCl₃); melting point=158.0°C; IR (CHCl₃): $\delta = 3417 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ (m), 3043 (w), 2929 (w), 1707 (s), 1681 (s), 1602 (m), 1530 (s), 1340 (w), 1252 (m), 910 (m); UV (CHCl₃): $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 280 \text{ nm}$; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ =3.45 (d, J=18 Hz, 7-H), 3.78 (m, 3H, 7-H, 2-H, 1-H), 4.55 (d, $J=6$ Hz, 2H, 10-H), 6.91 (d, $J=2.5$ Hz, 1H, 5-H), 6.94 (tr_{br}, J=7 Hz, 1H, NH), 7.35 (m, 5H, 11-H, 12-H, 13-H, 11'-H, 12'-H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ =43.46 (C-7), 43.79 (C-10), 53.37 (C-1), 57.16 (C-2), 85.05 (C-6), 125.04 (C-4), 127.97 (C-13, C-13'), 128.04 (C-14), 128.95 (C-12, C-12'), 136.94 (C-11), 141.35 (C-5), 153.98 (C-8), 158.14 (C-9), 184.80 (C-3); MS (160°C): m/z (%): 378 (M⁺, 3), 376 (M⁺, 3), 344 (3), 341

(3), 256 (1), 254 (2), 228 (2), 174 (17), 173 (15), 132 (14), 107 (88), 91 (100); HRMS: m/z for C₁₆H₁₃N₂O₄ calcd: 376.0059, found: 376.0060.

Bromohydrinamide 32. To a solution of PPh₃ (16 mg, 0.061 mmol, 1.2 equiv.) in dry dichloromethane (2 ml) was added a solution of bromine in dry dichloromethane $(0.10 \text{ ml}, 0.5 \text{ M}, 0.051 \text{ mmol}, 1.0 \text{ equiv.})$ at 0°C. After 5 min at 0° C 31 (19 mg, 0.051 mmol) was added. After 2 h at room temperature the reaction was stopped by flash chromatography. In this way 16 mg $(70%)$ of 32 were obtained as a yellow oil (diasteromeric mixture, trans:cisbromohydrin=93:7); IR (CHCl₃): ν =3593 cm⁻¹ (w), 3417 (m), 2928 (w), 1715 (s), 1678 (s), 1605 (m), 1531 (s), 1315 (w), 1258 (m), 910 (s), 792 (s); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ =37.37 (C-7), 43.71 (C-10), 55.87 (C-1), 74.39 (C-2), 90.51 (C-6), 122.68 (C-4), 127.94 (C-13, C-13'), 128.90 (C-12, C-12'), 136.99 (C-11), 147.62 (C-5), 153.64 (C-8), 158.48 (C-9), 181.82 (C-3); MS (190°C): mlz (%): 460 (M^+ , 1), 458 (M^+ , 2), 456 (M^+ , 1), 361 (7), 359 (6), 341 (1), 291 (2), 241 (3), 175 (17), 106 (100), 91 (97); HRMS: m/z for C₁₆H₁₄Br₂O₄N₂ calcd: 455.9320, found: 455.9322; main product (trans-bromohydrin): ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ =3.16 (d, J=18 Hz, 1H, 7-H), 3.38 (d, J=3 Hz, 1H, OH), 3.91 (d, $J=18$ Hz, 1H 7-H), 4.32 (dd_{br}, $J=2/12$ Hz, 1H, 1-H), 4.50 (dd, $J=6/15$ Hz, 1H, 10-H), 4.56 (dd, $J=6/$ 15 Hz, 1H, 10-H), 4.58 (d, $J=12$ Hz, 1H, 2-H), 6.39 (tr_{br}, J = 6 Hz, 1H, NH), 7.33 (m, 6H, 5-H, 12-H, 13-H, 14-H, 12'-H, 13'-H); the spectroscopic data were taken from the spectra of the mixtures; side product (*cis*-bromohydrine): 1 H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 3.28$ (d, J=18 Hz, 1H, 7-H), 3.98 (d, J=18 Hz, 1H, 7-H), 4.37 (s_{br} , 1H, 1-H), 4.53 (m, $3H$, 10-H, 2-H), 7.11 (d, J=1 Hz, 1H, 5-H), 7.33 (m, 5H, 12-H, 13-H, 14-H, 12'-H, 13'-H); the spectroscopic data were taken from the spectra of the mixtures.

Mentholbromide 34. To a solution of $PPh₃$ (738 mg, 2.821 mmol, 2.2 equiv.) in dry dichloromethane (10 ml) was added a bromine solution (3.33 ml, 0.5 M in dichloromethane, 1.67 mmol, 1.3 equiv.) at 0° C. Afterwards NEt₃ (0.23 ml, 1.67 mmol, 1.3 equiv.) and TsCl (49 mg, 0.256 mmol, 0.2 equiv.) were added and the reaction mixture was stirred for 10 min. Then menthol (200 mg, 1.282 mmol) was added and the mixture was stirred for 1.5 h at room temperature. The reaction was stopped with water, extracted with ethyl acetate, dried (MgSO₄) and chromatographic purification yielded 479 mg (86%) of 34 as a colorless oil; IR (CHCl₃): ν =2948 cm⁻¹ (s), 2924 (s), 2868 (m), 2844 (m), 1480 (w), 1456 (m), 1384 (w), 1368 (w), 1272 (m), 1228 (m), 1188 (m); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ =0.78 (m, 1H), 0.89 (d, J=7 Hz, 3H, 10-H), 0.92 (s_{br}, 3H, 8-H), 0.93 (s_{br} , 3H, 9-H), 1.31-1,59 (m, 3H), 1.70-1.79 (m, symmetric, 2H), $1.90-2.02$ (m, 1H), 2.16 (dq, J=4/14 Hz, 1H), $4.65-4.69$ (m, 1H, 1-H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ =20.09/20.66/27.78 (CH₃), 25.08 (CH₂), 26.79 (CH), 31.39 (CH), 34.85 (CH₂), 43.94 (CH₂), 49.27 (CH), 60.65 (C-1); MS (RT): m/z (%)=139 (M-Br, 81), 123 (13), 109 (3), 95 (51), 83 (100), 81 (41), 69 (36); HRMS: m/z for C10H19 calcd: 139.1487, found: 139.1487.

 β -Androsteronebromide 35a. To a solution of PPh₃ (415 mg, 1.59 mmol, 2.3 equiv.) in dry dichloromethane (10 ml) was added a bromine solution (1.79 ml, 0.5 M in dichloromethane, 0.897 mmol, 1.3 equiv.) at 0° C. Afterwards Hünig's base $(0.15 \text{ ml}, 0.897 \text{ mmol}, 1.3 \text{ equiv.})$ and TsCl (26 mg, 0.137 mmol, 0.2 equiv.) were added and the reaction mixture was stirred for 10 min. Then cis-androsterone (200 mg, 0.690 mmol) was added and the mixture was stirred over night at room temperature. The reaction was stopped with water, extracted with ethyl acetate, dried (MgSO₄) and chromatographic purification yielded 208 mg (70%) of $35a$ as a white solid; melting point=136.7°C; IR (CHCl₃): ν =2936 cm⁻¹ (m), 2852 (m), 1732 (s), 1464 (w), 1452 (w), 1372 (w), 1160 (w), 908 (m); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ =0.71 (dtr, J=4/12 Hz, 1H), 0.86 (s, 3H, 18-H), 0.88 (s, 3H, 19-H), 0.94 (dd, $J=5/12$ Hz, 1H), 1.10 (dd, $J=5/12$ Hz, 1H), 1.05 (dtr, $J=4/14$ Hz, 1H), 1.14 -2.19 (m, 13H), 2.44 (ddd, $J=1/10/18$ Hz, 1H, 16-H), 3.98-4.05 (m, symmetric, 1H, 3-H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ =12.28 (C-18), 13.80 (C-19), 20.31 (CH₂), 21.73 $(CH₂), 28.09$ (CH₂), 30.72 (CH₂), 31.48 (CH₂), 31.48 (CH₂), 34.06 (CH₂), 34.92 (CH), 35.51 (C), 35.80 (CH₂), 35.70 (CH₂), 40.47 (CH₂), 47.75 (C), 47.95 (CH), 51.12 (CH), 52.12 (CH), 54.32 (CH), 221 (C); MS (RT): m/z (%)=354 $(M^{\dagger}, 13)$, 352 (14), 310 (4), 295 (3), 273 (3), 217 (3), 107 (6), 69 (38); HRMS: m/z for C₁₉H₂₉BrO calcd: 352.1402, found: 352.1404; elemental analysis: calcd: C: 64.59, H: 8.27; found: C: 64.96, H: 8.51.

 α -Androsteronebromide 35b. To a solution of PPh₃ (415 mg, 1.59 mmol, 2.3 equiv.) in dry dichloromethane (10 ml) was added a bromine solution (1.79 ml, 0.5 M in dichloromethane, 0.897 mmol, 1.3 equiv.) at 0° C. Afterwards Hünig's base $(0.15 \text{ ml}, 0.897 \text{ mmol}, 1.3 \text{ equiv.})$ and TsCl (26 mg, 0.137 mmol, 0.2 equiv.) were added and the reaction mixture was stirred for 10 min. Then trans-androsterone (200 mg, 0.690 mmol) was added and the mixture was stirred over night at room temperature. The reaction was stopped with water, extracted with ethyl acetate, dried $(MgSO₄)$ and chromatographic purification yielded 225 mg (93%) of 35b as a white solid; melting point= 168.0° C; IR (CHCl₃): ν =2932 cm⁻¹ (m), 2860 (m), 1732 (s), 1452 (m), 1368 (w), 1252 (m), 1052 (m), 1012 (m), 908 (m); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ =0.81 (s, 3H, 18-H), 0.86 (s, 3H, 19-H), 0.88-1.99 (m, 16H), 2.08 (dtr, J=9/18 Hz, 1H, 16-H), 2.41 (dd, $J=8/18$ Hz, 1H, 16-H), 4.73 (q, $J=3$ Hz, 1H, 3-H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ =12.33 (C-18), 13.83 (C-19), 20.05 (CH₂), 21.73 (CH₂), 27.57 (CH₂), 30.63 $(CH₂), 30.94 (CH₂), 31.51 (CH₂), 32.85 (CH₂), 34.99 (CH),$ 35.82 (CH₂), 36.39 (C), 37.22 (CH₂), 40.16 (CH), 47.77 (C), 51.42 (CH), 53.98 (CH), 55.59 (CH), 221.17 (C); MS (130°C): m/z (%)=355 (M+1, 23), 354 M⁺, 95), 353 $(M+1, 24)$, 352 $(M⁺, 100)$, 319 (12), 310 (30), 296 (24), 282 (20), 218 (35), 164 (10), 147 (13), 123 (20), 121 (19), 97 (26), 95 (20), 93 (30), 81 (24); HRMS: m/z for C₁₉H₂₉BrO calcd: 352.1402, found: 352.1401; elemental analysis: calcd: C: 64.59, H: 8.27; found: C: 64.83, H 8.27.

Protected bromodiolmethylester. To a solution of 17 (200 mg, 0.557 mmol) in dry dichloromethane (4 ml) was added a solution of bromine in dry dichloromethane (1.1 ml, 0.5 M, 0.557 mmol, 1 equiv.) at 0° C. After 1 h stirring at 0° C Et₃N (24 mg, 0.244 mmol, 4 equiv.) was added. The solution was stirred for 3 h at room temperature and then the reaction was stopped with water, extracted with methyl $tert$ -butyl ether and dried (MgSO₄). Purification by flash chromatography yielded 217 mg (89%) of the protected bromodiolmethylester as a yellow foam; IR $(CHCl₃)$: ν =3040 cm⁻¹ (w), 2956 (w), 2932 (w), 2856 (w), 1728 (s), 1612 (m), 1516 (m), 1444 (m), 1376 (w), 1252 (s), 1096 (m), 908 (m); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ =3.30/ 3.32 (d, J=18 Hz, 1H, 7-H), $3.77-3.95$ (m, 7-H, 16-H, 10-H), 4.52/4.61 (dd, J=2/5 Hz, 1H, 1-H), 4.82/4.97 (d, $J=5$ Hz, 1H, 2-H), 5.95/5.96 (s, 1H, 11-H), 6.86–6.93 (m, 2H, 14-H, 14'-H), 7.19 (d, $J=2$ Hz, 1H, 5-H), 7.24/7.35 (d, $J=9$ Hz, 1H, 13-H, 13'-H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ =40.84/40.93 (C-7), 51.26 (C-16), 53.31/53.32 (C-10), 72.24/73.64 (C-1), 74.56/76.33 (C-2), 83.81/84.01 (C-6), 102.02/103.37 (C-11), 111.88/111.93 (C-14, C-14'), 125.04/ 126.71 (C-12), 125.63/126.17 (C-13, C-13'), 141.37/142.13 (C-5), 149.60/149.71 (C-15), 157.87 (C-8), 158.70/158.92 (C-9); FAB-MS: mlz (%)=462 (M+23, 6), 460 (M123, 6), 429 (7), 401 (16), 355 (20), 341 (23), 325 (27), 281 (84), 267 (31), 249 (17), 221 (81), 207 (100), 191 (33), 176 (13).

Bromodiolmethylester 36. To a solution of protected bromodiolmethylester (19 mg, 0.043 mmol) in aq. acetone (3 ml) was added a catalytic amount of 2 N aq. H_2SO_4 at room temperature. After 4 h the reaction was stopped with $NaHCO₃$. The reaction mixture was concentrated and afterwards water was added. The aqueous phase was extracted with ethyl acetate. The combined organic layers were dried $(MgSO₄)$ and concentrated. Chromatographic prurification yielded 9 mg (65%) of 36 as a yellow foam; $[\alpha]_D^{20} = 132.5^\circ$ $(c=0.28, CHCl₃)$; IR (CHCl₃): $\nu=3576$ cm⁻¹ (w), 3524 (w), 2956 (w), 2928 (w), 2856 (w), 1732 (s), 1596 (w), 1444 (m), 1376 (m), 1296 (m), 1252 (s), 1128 (m), 1112 (m); ¹H NMR $(400 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$: $\delta = 2.83$ (d, $J = 2$ Hz, 1H, OH), 3.29 (d, $J=18$ Hz, 1H, 6-H), 3.66 (d, $J=2$ Hz, 1H, OH), 3.90 (d, $J=18$ Hz, 1H, 7-H), 3.99 (s, 3H, 10-H), 4.28-4.32 (m, 1H, 1-H), $4.81-4.85$ (m, 1H, 2-H), 7.08 (d, $J=2$ Hz, 1H, 5-H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ =42.66 (C-7), 53.22 (C-10), 73.03 (C-1), 73.57 (C-2), 88.49 (C-6), 124.77 (C-4), 143.22 (C-5), 160.56 (C-9), 191.05 (C-3); MS (130°C): m/z $(\%)$ =7.13 (M⁺, 6), 319 (M⁺, 7), 290 (13), 259 (14), 244 (12), 216 (20), 202 (19), 176 (91), 174 (100), 152 (12), 124 (12), 99 (23), 78 (19), 69 (37).

Bromohydrinmethylester 38. To a solution of PPh_3 (25 mg, 0.095 mmol, 1.5 equiv.) in dry dichloromethane (2 ml) was added a solution of bromine in dry dichloromethane $(0.13 \text{ ml}, 0.5 \text{ M}, 0.063 \text{ mmol}, 1.0 \text{ equiv.})$ at 0°C . After 5 min at 0° C 36 (19 mg, 0.063 mmol) was added. After 2 h at room temperature the reaction was stopped with water, extracted with ethyl acetate and dried $(MgSO₄)$. Purification by flash chromatography yielded 23 mg (96%) of 38 as a yellow oil (diastereomeric mixture, *trans:cis-bromohydrin=1:2)*; IR (CHCl₃): ν =3584 cm⁻¹ (w), 3040 (w), 2956 (w), 2928 (w), 1728 (s), 1600 (m), 1444 (m), 1376 (m), 1260 (s), 1128 (m), 908 (s); MS (rt): m/z (%)=305 (M-78, 5), 303 (M-78, 4), 281 (4), 271 (10), 261 (3), 242 (3), 222 (8), 212 (4), 176 (3), 149 (4), 99 (10), 85 (68), 83 (100), 77 (7); HRMS: m/z for C₁₀H₁₀NO₅Br calcd: 302.9743, found: 302.9745; main product (cisbromohydrine): ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ =3.12 (d, $J=18$ Hz, 1H, 7-H), 3.87 (d, $J=18$ Hz, 1H, 7-H), 3.92 (s, $3H$, 10-H), 4.37 (dd, $J=3/12$ Hz, 1H, 1-H), 4.56 (d, $J=12$ Hz, 1H, 2-H), 7.41 (s, 1H, 5-H); ¹³C NMR $(100 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$: $\delta = 37.28$ (C-7), 53.27 (C-10), 55.56 (C-2), 74.45 (C-1), 90.80 (C-6), 123.01 (C-4), 147.29 (C-5), 152.11 (C-8), 159.97 (C-9), 181.72 (C-3); the spectroscopic data were taken from the spectra of the mixtures; side product (trans-bromohydrin): ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ =3.26 (d, J=18 Hz, 1H, 7-H), 3.93 (s, 3H, 10-H), 3.97 (d, $J=18$ Hz, 1H, 7-H), 4.44 (s_{br} , 1H, 1-H), 5.12 (d, $J=3$ Hz, 1H, 2-H), 7.14 (d, $J=1$ Hz, 1H, 5-H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ =47.67 (C-7), 53.23 (C-10), 55.56 (C-2), 74.44 (C-1), 89.92 (C-6), 124.37 (C-4), 143.89 (C-5), 151.03 (C-8), 159.94 (C-9), 182.12 (C-3); the spectroscopic dates were taken from the spectra of the mixtures.

Diolbenzylamide 39. To a solution of acid 25 (15 mg, 0.044 mmol) in dry THF (3 ml) was added Staab's reagent (7 mg, 0.044 mmol, 1 equiv.) at room temperature. The solution was stirred for 10 min, then benzylamine (5 mg, 0.047 mmol, 1.1 equiv.) was added at room temperature. After stirring the reaction mixture for 1 h, it was worked up by purification by flash chromatography. In this way 7 mg (50%) of 39 were obtained as a brown oil; $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ = 71.5° (c=0.38, CHCl₃); IR (CHCl₃): ν =3580 cm⁻¹ (w), 3504 (w), 3416 (m), 3064 (w), 3040 (w), 2928 (w), 1700 (s), 1680 (s), 1600 (m), 1528 (s), 1252 (m), 1128 (m), 1108 (m), 908 (m); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta=3.23$ (d, $J=18$ Hz, 1H, 7-H), 3.89 (d, $J=18$ Hz, 1H, 7-H), 4.21 (tr, $J=2.5$ Hz, 1H, 1-H), 4.54 (dd, $J=2/6$ Hz, 2H, 10-H), 4.63 (d, $J=3$ Hz, 1H, 2-H), 6.24 (d, $J=10$ Hz, 1H, 4-H), 6.59 (dd, $J=2/10$ Hz, 1H, 5-H), 6.98 (tr, $J=6$ Hz, 1H, NH), 7.27-7.39 (m, 5H, 12-H, 12'-H, 13-H, 13'-H, 14-H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ =42.66 (C-10), 43.66 (C-7), 73.02 (C-1), 73.07 (C-2), 87.45 (C-6), 127.91 (C-14), 127.92 (C-13, C-13'), 128.87 (C-12, C-12'), 129.01 (C-4), 137.10 (C-11), 143.40 (C-5), 154.26 (C-8), 158.85 (C-9), 197.15 (C-3); MS (150°C): m/z (%)=316 (M⁺, 6), 256 (3), 175 (15), 160 (6), 106 (71), 91 (100); HRMS: m/z for $C_{16}H_{16}N_2O_5$ calcd: 316.1059, found: 316.1057.

Bisamide 40. To a solution of acid 30b (20 mg, 0.096 mmol, 3 equiv.) in DMSO (0.3 ml) was added Staab's reagent (16 mg, 0.096 mmol, 3 equiv.) at room temperature. The solution was stirred for 5 min, then a solution of α, α' diamino-p-xylene (4.3 mg, 0.087 mmol, 1 equiv.) in DMSO (0.1 ml) was added at room temperature. After stirring the reaction mixture for 1.5 h, it was quenched with brine, extracted with ethyl acetate and dried $(MgSO₄)$. Purification by flash chromatography yielded $5 \text{ mg } (30\%)$ of 40 as a white solid; $\left[\alpha\right]_D^{20} = 151.3^\circ$ (c=0.21, CHCl₃); IR (CHCl₃): ν =3418 cm⁻¹ (w), 2999 (w), 2928 (m), 1686 (s), 1601 (m) , 1530 (m), 1259 (m), 1230 (m), 1015 (w), 830 (w); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, acetone-d₆): $\delta = 3.55$ (d, J=18 Hz, 1H, 7-H), 3.59 (dd, $J=2/3.5$ Hz, 1H, 1-H), 3.72 (d, $J=18$ Hz, 1H, 7-H), 4.00 (d, $J=2.5/3.5$ Hz, 1H, 2-H), 4.50 (d, $J=6.5$ Hz, 2H, 10-H), 6.08 (dd, $J=2/10.5$ Hz, 1H, 4-H), 6.80 (dd, $J=2.5/10$ Hz, 1H, 5-H), 7.34 (s, 2H, 12-H, 12⁻¹, 13⁻¹, 13⁻¹, 13⁻¹, 13⁻¹, 13⁻¹, 14⁻¹, 15⁻¹, 15⁻¹, 15⁻¹, 15⁻¹, 15-1, 15-1, 15-1, 15-1, 15-1, 15-1, 15-1, 15-1, 15-1, 15-1, 15-1, 15-1, 15-1, 1 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, actone-d₆): δ =43.31 (C-10), 44.50 (C-7), 54.17 (C-1), 58.06 (C-2), 83.77/83.78 (C-6), 128.20 (C-4), 128.74/128.75 (C-12, C-12'), 138.85/138.86 (C-11), 143.53 (C-5), 155.52 (C-8), 159.61 (C-9), 192.84 (C-3); FAB-MS: m/z (%)=541 (M+23, 10), 519 (M+1, 16), 460 (17), 413 (28), 392 (31), 391 (100), 329 (44), 307 (98), 289 (55), 259 (66), 241 (17).

Acknowledgements

The project described here was supported by the Graduiertencolleg ªChemische und technische Grundlagen der Naturstofftransformationº (GRK 223/2-96) at Hannover University. Thanks are due to the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft for this grant.

References

1. König, G. M.; Wright, A. D. Heterocycles 1993, 36, 1351.

2. Wright, A. D.; König, G. M.; Sticher, O. J. Nat. Prod. 1990, 53, 1615.

3. Forrester, A. R.; Thomson, R. H.; Woo, S. Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1978, 66.

4. Wasserman, H. H.; Wang, J. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 5581.

5. Murakata, M.; Yamada, K.; Hoshino, O. Heterocycles 1998, 47, 921 (and quoted literature therein).

6. (a) Nishiyama, S.; Yamamura, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1983, 24, 3351. (b) Nishiyama, S.; Yamamura, S. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn 1985, 58, 3456.

7. Murakata, M.; Tamura, M.; Hoshino, O. J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 4428.

8. (a) Winterfeldt, E. Chem. Rev. 1993, 93, 827. (b) Borm, C.; Meibom, D.; Winterfeldt, E. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1996, 887. (c) Borm, C.; Nerenz, F.; Winterfeldt, E. Advances in Asymmetric Synthesis, JAI Press Inc.: 1997; Vol. 2, 1.

9. Beil, W.; Jones, P. G.; Nerenz, F.; Winterfeldt, E. Tetrahedron 1998, 54, 7273.

10. (a) Teeyapant, R.; Woerdenbag, H. J.; Gross, H. J.; Proksch, P. Planta Med. 59, Supplement Issue 1993, A641. (b) Ebel, R.; Brenzinger, M.; Kunze, A.; Gross, H. J.; Proksch, P. J. Chem. Ecol. 1997, 23, 1451. (c) Proksch, P.; Ebel, R. Alkaloids: Biochemistry Ecology and Medical Applications; Plenium Press: New York, London, 1998; p 379. (d) Ebel, R. Dissertation, University of Würzburg, 1998.

11. Teeyapant, R.; Woerdenbag, H. J.; Kreis, P.; Hacker, L.; Wray, V.; Witte, L.; Proksch, P. Z. Naturforsch. C 1993, 48, 939.

12. Roney, P. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1969, 91, 6090.

13. Staab, A. H.; Lücking, M.; Dürr, F. H. Chem. Ber. 1962, 95, 1275.

14. (a) Shing, T. K. M.; Tai, V. W.-F.; Tam, E. K. W. Angew. Chem. 1994, 106, 2408; Angew Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1994, 33, 2312. (b) Shing, T. K. M.; Tai, V. W.-F.; Chung, I. H. F.; Jiang, Q. Chem. Eur. J. 1996, 2, 50.

15. Genski, T.; Macdonald, G.; Wie, X.; Lewis, N.; Taylor, R. J. K. Synlett 1999, 6, 795 (and quoted literature therein).

16. Appel, R. Angew. Chem. 1975, 87, 863; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1975, 87, 801.

17. Eliel, E. L.; Ro, R. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1957, 79, 5995.

18. Zwanenburg, B.; Dois, P. P. M. A.; Kluder, A. J. H. Tetrahedron 1993, 49, 11 373.

19. Palumbo, G.; Ferreri, C.; Caputo, R. Tetrahedron Lett. 1983, 20, 1307.

20. These investigations were all made by T. Fendert and P. Proksch at the Julius-von-Sachs-Department of Biological Sciences, University of Würzburg.

21. (a) Eder, U.; Sauer, G.; Wiechert, R. Angew. Chem. 1971, 83, 492; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1971, 83, 496. (b) Hajos, Z. G.; Parrisch, D. R. J. Org. Chem. 1974, 39, 1615. (c) Hajos, Z. G.; Parrisch, D. R. Org. Synth. 1985, 63, 26. (d) Beckmann, M.; Meyer, T.; Schulz, F.; Winterfeldt, E. Chem. Ber. 1994, 127, 2505. 22. (a) Boehlow, T. R.; Spilling, C. D. Natural Product Lett. 1995, 7, 1. (b) Kahr, K.; Berther, C. Chem. Ber. 1960, 93, 132. (c) Kacan, M.; Koyuncu, D.; McKillop, H. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1993, 1771.

23. Fendert, T.; Goldenstein, K.; Winterfeldt, E.; Bringmann, G.; Proksch, P. In preparation.